NM Bone Density DEXA - Details

Impression

IMPRESSIONS:

1. Osteoporosis in the femoral neck. Since the prior study BMD is

Osteoporosis in the forearm.

Reductions in bone density to 2.0 or more standard deviations below those of age and gender-matched control subjects is considered to represent BMD which is low for chronological age (ACR Practice Guidelines 2008). Comparisons to prior studies are based on least significant change (LSC), typically 3 times the coefficient of variance (precision) to achieve a 95% CI of significant change. In general BMD changes have to be >4.5% for the spine, >7.5% for hip, and >10% for the wrist to be significant. The interpretation of BMDs are being based on T-scores for post-menopausal women and men >50 years, and on Z-scores for premenopausal women and men <50 years, with both values made available.

Narrative

10/30/2018

STUDY: EAA DUAL ENERGY X-RAY ABSORPTIOMETRY

INDICATION FOR STUDY:

Assess bone mineral density for osteoporosis.

PREVIOUS STUDIES:

5/26/2011 & 9/11/2009

PROCEDURE:

Bone mineral analysis was performed at the University of Michigan East Ann Arbor Health Center by dual energy absorptiometry of the left hip and left forearm. Measurements were made utilizing the GE Lunar iDXA bone densitometer.

FINDINGS:

Femur Study

| BMD | Young-Adult | Age-Matched | BMC | Region | g/cm2 | % | T-Score | % | Z-Score | g | Neck | 0.707 | 66 | -2.8 | 75 | -1.8 | 4.58 | Upper Neck | 0.569 | 62 | -2.6 | 73 | -1.6 | 1.84 | Lower Neck | 0.845 | - | - | - | - | 2.73 |

Wards | 0.546 | 57 | -3.2 | 72 | -1.6 | 2.54 | Troch | 0.589 | 63 | -3.1 | 66 | -2.8 | 10.87 | Shaft |0.872|-| - |-| - |15.10|

Total |0.723 | 66 | -2.6 | 70 | -2.2 | 30.54 |

Femur Study continued

| Area | Region | cm2 |

Neck | 6.47 | Upper Neck | 3.24 |

Lower Neck | 3.24 | Wards | 4.65 |

Troch | 18.45 | Shaft | 17.32 |

Total | 42.24 | Forearm Study

| BMD | Young-Adult | Age-Matched | BMC |

|g/cm2|% |T-Score|% |Z-Score| g

```
Radius UD | 0.345 | 66 | -3.5 | 71 | -2.8 | 1.34
Ulna UD | 0.291 | - | - | - | 0.92
Radius 33% | 0.726 | 73 | -2.7 | 79 | -2.0 | 2.03
Ulna 33% | 0.722 | - | - | - | - | 1.76
Both UD | 0.321 | - | - | - | 2.26
Both 33% | 0.724 | - | - | - | 3.79
Radius Total | 0.543 | 72 | -3.1 | 77 | -2.4 | 9.28
Ulna Total | 0.486 | - | - | - | - | 6.16
Both Total | 0.519 | - | - | - | 15.44
Forearm Study continued
| Area |
Region | cm2 |
Radius UD | 3.90 |
Ulna UD | 3.16 |
Radius 33% | 2.80 |
Ulna 33% | 2.43 |
Both UD | 7.05 |
Both 33% | 5.23 |
Radius Total | 17.11 |
Ulna Total | 12.66 |
Both Total | 29.77 |
Examination of the transmission images of the hip showed no significant
features that would preclude accurate estimation of structural bone
mineral density. Examination of the transmission images of the forearm
showed no significant features that would preclude accurate estimation
```

Result Information

of structural bone mineral density.



MyChart® licensed from Epic Systems Corporation © 1999 - 2022 - PRD2

2 of 2 5/31/2022, 9:04 PM